

1993 Environmental Voting Record

88TH ILLINOIS GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Prepared by the Illinois Environmental Council
Springfield, Illinois

December, 1993

About the *Environmental Voting Record* and the IEC . . .

The *Environmental Voting Record* is published annually by the Illinois Environmental Council (IEC) for purposes of public education. It provides an objective record and analysis of votes on a range of bills to help create a better-informed citizenry.

No endorsement of any party or any legislator or political candidate is implied in this or other publications and activities of the Illinois Environmental Council. The IEC does not participate in partisan activity or electoral campaigns. The IEC does not make financial contributions to, or receive them from, any political party.

The Illinois Environmental Council is a not-for-profit coalition of individuals and organizations committed to a healthful environment, stewardship of natural resources, and public participation in the decision-making processes of the state. Founded in 1975, the IEC currently includes more than seventy affiliated organizations. The IEC is governed by a statewide board of directors. The IEC staff serves its membership through its advocacy on state issues, informative publications, and networking assistance.

Individuals, as well as organizations, belong to the Illinois Environmental Council. Memberships are available at the rate of $40 for households, $25 for individuals, $12.50 for students and limited income.

The IEC staff welcomes your inquiries. If you would like additional copies of the *1993 Environmental Voting Record* or wish to receive membership information, please write, phone or fax the office.

Illinois Environmental Council
319 West Cook Street
Springfield, Illinois 62704

Phone 217/544-5954
Fax 217/544-5958

Explanation of Ratings

Point scores were established for the votes recorded in this *Environmental Voting Record:*

+ 1.0	"yes" on a pro-environmental bill, and "no" on an anti-environmental bill
+ 0.5	"present" on an anti-environmental bill (construed as a vote withheld from the number of votes required to pass the bill, and thus supportive of the environmental position)
0	absent or not voting
- 0.5	"present" on a pro-environmental bill (a vote denied to the number needed to pass the bill and thus not supportive of the environmental position)
- 1.0	"no" on a pro-environmental bill, and "yes" on an anti-environmental bill

The points awarded for votes on the bills were added together. Raw scores (e.g. a range of - 8 to + 8 for Senators) were converted to a positive-number scale (range, 0 to 16), then divided by the total (in this case, by 16) to obtain the percentage of environmentally supportive votes.

ABOUT THE BILLS IN THE *1993 ENVIRONMENTAL VOTING RECORD*

The year 1993 was the first of the two-year 88th General Assembly. There were new legislators in both parties who brought energy and fresh ideas to the legislative scene, but many bills were ultimately trapped in the stalemate between the Republican-controlled Senate and Democratic House.

The IEC staff selected eight 1993 roll calls from the Illinois Senate and 16 from the House of Representatives for this year's *Environmental Voting Record.* The bills represent a range of IEC concerns in the areas of pollution prevention, reduced use of pesticides, source reduction of waste, maintenance of biodiversity, and a healthful environment for all Illinois communities.

In any year, many bills get no further than committee hearings; thus, legislative committees play a key role in a bill's progress or failure. This year for the first time, the IEC has rated roll calls from the House and Senate Energy and Environment committees; see pages 14-16.

The following sections provide a synopsis of the bills and roll calls used in the *1993 EVR.*

SENATE BILLS

SB 52: Incinerator site hearings
Sponsors: Sen. O'Malley/Rep. Wennlund
Pro-environmental vote: Yes

This bill requires each municipality within five miles of a proposed incinerator site to hold a public hearing, and to approve an incinerator site by adopting an ordinance or resolution. It passed the Senate 36-13 but failed in the House Environment and Energy Committee.

SB 85: Preemption of local pesticide rules
Sponsors: Sen. Woodyard/Rep. Hartke
Pro-environmental vote: No

A handful of communities in Illinois have enacted regulations that help protect residents from possible ill effects of lawn care chemicals and tree spraying. SB 85 preempts their authority and bans regulation of pesticides by local governments (except Chicago). It was strongly promoted by industry lobbies, who have had similar bills introduced in many states. Both houses passed SB 85, and Gov. Edgar signed it into law.

SB 186: Amended solid waste/collection project
Sponsors: Sen. Welch/Reps. Novak-Levin
Amendment #5: Rep. Novak
Pro-environmental vote: No

This bill, the Senate version of HB 1374, started out as a pro-environmental directive to the Department of Energy and Natural Resources for a pilot "wet/dry" recycling project. Its purpose was to evaluate systems for source separation of compostable and non-compostable materials. It passed the Senate without a dissenting vote.

However, House amendment #5 altered the character of the bill. By changing a date, it requalified the proposed Robbins incinerator for financial assistance, and environmentalists then opposed the amended SB 186.

The *Environmental Voting Record* lists the House roll call on Amendment #5 (which passed 66-54 on May 20, 1993) and the final roll call on the amended bill (which passed 77-22 on May 26).

SB 188: Assisting communities through aid to environmentally sound businesses
Sponsors: Sen. Palmer/Rep. Currie
Pro-environmental vote: Yes

SB 188 had two goals: to stimulate the economy and create jobs, and to improve the quality of the environment. The bill established enterprise zones in low-income communities to develop "environmentally friendly" businesses through grants from the Department of Energy and Natural Resources. Rep. Dart sponsored an identical bill (HB 815). SB 188 passed both chambers with little opposition, and Gov. Edgar signed it into law.

SB 240: Leaf-burning ban
Sponsors: Sen. Mahar/ Rep. Lang
Pro-environmental vote: Yes

To protect respiratory health, SB 240 bans open burning of yard waste in Illinois communities of more than 1,000 population. The *Environmental Voting Record* lists three roll calls on SB 240: Senate passage on April 19, House passage of an amended bill on May 21, and an October 13 House vote to recede from amendments. The last procedure was necessary because the Senate would not accept the bill as the House had amended it. On December 23, Governor Edgar vetoed SB 240.

SB 466: IEPA rebate to polluters
Sponsor: Sen. Mahar
Pro-environmental vote: No

This bill and HB 1719 are the same: they would give polluting companies an 80% rebate on a penalty assessed by the IEPA if they use the money for corrective action. Rewarding polluters for doing what they ought to have done in the first place not only sends the wrong message but is unnecessary, since measures to relieve the cost of compliance are already available for companies that require it.

The bill passed the Senate 31-23 with 3 voting "present." In the House, however, it was held in Rules Committee.

SB 482: Natural areas consultation
Sponsors: Sen. Fitzgerald/Rep. Brunsvold
Pro-environmental vote: Yes

Currently state and local governments must consult with the Department of Conservation before authorizing any project that may have a negative impact on endangered species. SB 482 requires a similar process for areas listed on the Illinois Natural Areas Inventory. With strong support from conservation groups, the bill readily passed both chambers and is now law.

SB 534: Increased waste disposal fees for IEPA cleanup fund
Sponsors: Sen. Mahar/Rep. Balanoff
Pro-environmental vote: Yes

A renewed attempt to find funds for cleaning up IEPA's backlog of contaminated sites, SB 534 proposed increases in disposal fees for solid and hazardous wastes that would generate more than $8 million a year. Although backed by both environmental and business groups, it was opposed by the waste disposal industry and the City of Chicago. SB 534 passed the Senate without opposition but was denied a floor vote in the House.

HB 43 (Wennlund) was a similar effort to increase fees that did not get a House roll call.

HOUSE BILLS

HB 44: Quantity-based garbage fees
Sponsors: Rep. Wennlund/Sen. Cronin
Pro-environmental vote: Yes

HB 44 was part of a Republican waste management package. The bill required municipalities over 5,000 to consider the feasibility of implementing volume-based garbage disposal fees when drawing up solid waste plans. The bill passed with 101 votes but was not considered in the Senate. In 1992, the General Assembly passed a similar bill which Governor Edgar vetoed.

HB 639 is the Democrats' version of the same concept. It, too, passed the House overwhelmingly but was not voted upon in the Senate.

HB 639: Quantity-based garbage fees
Sponsors: Reps. Novak-Currie
Pro-environmental vote: Yes

Like HB 44, HB 639 required municipalities over 5,000 to consider the feasibility of implementing volume-based fees for the disposal of garbage. It passed the House with 106 votes but was bottled up in the Senate Rules Committee.

HB 787: Hazardous waste incinerator moratorium
Sponsors: Rep. McAfee/Sens. Raica-Jones
Pro-environmental vote: Yes

A moratorium on new hazardous waste incinerators passed by the General Assembly in 1992 expired at the end of 1993. HB 787 sought to extend that moratorium to June 1, 1995 and require a study on safety. The bill passed with 95 votes but was held in Senate Rules Committee.

The incinerator safety issue was effectively addressed at the federal level at about the same time:

The US EPA decreed an 18-month national moratorium on new incinerators and a study on their safety.

HB 815: Assisting communities through aid to environmentally sound businesses
Sponsor: Rep. Dart
Pro-environmental vote: Yes

This bill is the same as SB 188; it proposed grants from the Department of Energy and Natural Resources to environmentally responsible enterprises in low-income communities, thereby creating jobs and protecting the environment. The bill received 50 votes, 10 short of the needed majority. SB 188, however, later passed the House and is now law.

HB 979: Repeal of incinerator subsidies
Sponsor: Rep. Murphy and Sen. O'Malley
Pro-environmental vote: Yes

This bill as amended is the same as HB 1450 (Dart); it repeals legislation that provides financial assistance to incinerators through electric rate subsidies.

Sen. O'Malley originally introduced SB 1060, a bill identical to HB 1450, but it did not get out of committee. After HB 1450 passed the House and crossed to the Senate, it was held in Senate Rules Committee. Sen. O'Malley then amended the language of SB 1060 and HB 1450 onto another bill, HB 979, and in that form the repeal passed the Senate 34-16 with 7 voting "present."

When HB 979 returned to the House for its approval of the amendment, the bill was held in the House Rules Committee.

HB 1315: Solid waste incinerator permit moratorium
Sponsor: Reps. Steczo-Dart et al.
Pro-environmental vote: yes

Under the moratorium established by this bill, the IEPA would be prohibited from granting permits for new solid waste incinerators in Cook County for a period of 2 1/2 years. HB 1315 failed on the House floor, 22-86 with 5 voting present.

HB 1374: Wet/dry pilot recycling program
Sponsors: Rep. Levin/Sen. Welch
Pro-environmental vote: Yes

This bill requires the Department of Energy and Natural Resources to conduct a pilot program to evaluate the feasibility of wet and dry collection systems for recyclables. If successful, this system of separating compostable from noncompostable discards increases the usability of the recycled materials. Both House and Senate passed HB 1374 without a dissenting vote, and the bill is now law.

HB 1450: Repeal of incinerator subsidies
Sponsor: Rep. Dart
Pro-environmental vote: Yes

This bill is the same as SB 1060; it repeals existing legislation that provides financial assistance to incinerators through electric rate subsidies. It passed the House 64-47, with 4 voting "present." When HB 1450 was held up in a Senate committee, Sen. O'Malley amended its language onto another House bill being heard in the Senate, HB 979.

Thus amended, HB 979 passed the Senate and was sent back to the House for concurrence with the amendatory language. There it was held in committee. No subsidy-repeal bill has been sent to the Governor in 1993, even though both chambers approved the principle under different bill numbers.

HB 1479: Leaf-burning ban
Sponsor: Rep. Lang
Pro-environmental vote: Yes

HB 1479 is identical to SB 240; in the interests of respiratory health, both prohibit the open burning of yard waste in communities of more than 1,000. HB 1479 passed the House 77 to 26 with 9 voting "present." SB 240 eventually passed both houses and went to the Governor, who vetoed it.

HB 1719: IEPA rebate to polluters
Sponsors: Rep. Hughes/Sen. Klemm
Pro-environmental vote: No

Under this bill, polluting companies could get an 80% rebate on a penalty assessed by the IEPA if they use the money for corrective action. The bill is the same as SB 466, and both were opposed by environmentalists.

HB 1719 passed the House 84-25 with 2 voting "present." In the Senate, however, a roll call vote fell short of a majority, and before the failing vote was recorded the sponsor asked that the bill be put on "postponed consideration."

1993 Senate

	Incinerator site hearings + = yes	Preemption of local pesticide rules + = no	Environmentally friendly businesses + = yes	Leaf-burning ban + = yes	IEPA rebate to polluters + = no	Natural areas consultation + = yes	Waste fees for site cleanup + = yes	Repeal of incinerator subsidies + = yes			
	SB 52	SB 85	SB 188	SB 240	SB 466	SB482	SB 534	HB 979			
	4/19/93	4/19/93	4/19/93	4/19/93	4/15/93	4/15/93	4/20/93	5/20/93	1993	1992	1991
BARKHAUSEN (R-30)	+	-	P	-	P	+	+	+	63%	63%	36%
BERMAN (D-9)	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	P	66%	38%	95%
BURZYNSKI (R-35)	+	-	+	P	-	P	+	+	56%	*29%*	*30%*
BUTLER (R-28)	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	38%	75%	27%
CARROLL (D-8)	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	P	66%	25%	86%
COLLINS (D-4)	-	NV	+	+	+	+	+	-	69%	44%	77%
CRONIN (R-39)	+	+	NV	+	-	+	+	+	81%	*43%*	*45%*
CULLERTON (D-6)	P	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%	25%	98%
DEANGELIS (R-40)	+	-	+	+	-	NV	+	+	69%	75%	27%
DELEO (D-10)	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	P	66%	*36%*	*38%*
DELVALLE (D-2)	NV	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	81%	44%	82%
DEMUZIO (D-49)	P	-	+	+	+	+	+	P	69%	25%	64%
DONAHUE (R-48)	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	25%	75%	43%
DUDYCZ (R-7)	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	63%	69%	45%
DUNN, R. (R-58)	+	-	-	-	-	P	+	-	28%	75%	45%
DUNN, T.(D-43)	NV	NV	NV	NV	+	+	+	+	75%	75%	82%
FARLEY (D-17)	P	-	+	+	P	+	+	NV	69%	*32%*	*58%*
FAWELL (R-20)	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	75%	63%	27%
FITZGERALD (R-27)	+	P	P	+	+	+	+	+	88%	*	*
GARCIA (D-1)	P	NV	+	+	+	+	+	P	75%	*	*
GEO-KARIS (R-31)	+	+	P	P	-	+	+	+	69%	75%	64%
HALL (D-57)	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	63%	25%	68%
HASARA (R-50)	+	-	NV	-	-	+	+	+	56%	*14%*	*38%*
HAWKINSON (R-47)	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	63%	75%	36%
HENDON (D-5)	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	63%	*	*
JACOBS (D-36)	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	38%	38%	68%
JONES (D-14)	-	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	81%	0%	73%
KARPIEL (R-25)	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	75%	100%	30%
KLEMM (R-32)	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	75%	*57%*	*48%*
LAPAILLE (D-11)	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	75%	*	*
LAUZEN (R-21)	+	+	P	+	-	+	+	+	78%	*	*
*LUFT (D-46)	+	NV	+	-	+	NV	+	NR	71%	25%	86%
MADIGAN (R-45)	+	-	P	-	-	+	+	+	53%	75%	41%
MAHAR (R-19)	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	75%	75%	43%
MAITLAND (R-44)	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	38%	75%	50%
MCCRACKEN (R-41)	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	50%	*29%*	*23%*
MOLARO (D-12)	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	75%	*	*
O'DANIEL (D-54)	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	63%	0%	56%
O'MALLEY (R-18)	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	75%	*	*
PALMER (D-13)	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	P	78%	100%	100%
PETERSON (R-26)	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	75%	*43%*	*0%*
PETKA (R-42)	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	63%	*57%*	*45%*
PHILIP (R-23)	+	+	-	NV	-	+	+	+	69%	75%	27%
RAICA (R-24)	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	+	+	63%	*75%*	55%
RAUSCHENBRGR.(R-33)	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	63%	*	*
REA (D-59)	-	-	+	-	P	+	+	-	47%	50%	64%
SEVERNS (D-51)	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	88%	94%	73%
SHAW (D-15)	-	NV	NV	NV	+	+	NV	-	50%	*79%*	*65%*
SIEBEN (R-37)	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	63%	*29%*	*20%*
SMITH (D-3)	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	63%	25%	82%

+ pro-environmental vote **P present** **NV not voting or absent**
- non-environmental vote **NR no record**

	Incinerator site hearings + = yes	Preemption of local pesticide rules + = no	Environmentally friendly businesses + = yes	Leaf-burning ban + = yes	IEPA rebate to polluters + = no	Natural areas consultation + = yes	Waste fees for site cleanup + = yes	Repeal of incinerator subsidies + = yes			
	SB 52	SB 85	SB 188	SB 240	SB 466	SB482	SB 534	HB 979			
	4/19/93	4/19/93	4/19/93	4/19/93	4/15/93	4/15/93	4/20/93	5/20/93	1993	1992	1991
STERN (D-29)	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	88%	*86%*	*75%*
SYVERSON (R-34)	P	-	P	P	-	+	+	+	47%	*	*
TOPINKA (R-22)	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	50%	75%	39%
TROTTER (D-16)	P	NV	+	+	+	NV	+	+	78%	*86%*	*83%*
**VADALABENE (D-56)	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	**	0%	45%
WATSON (R-55)	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	38%	75%	45%
WEAVER (R-52)	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	50%	88%	30%
WELCH (D-38)	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	88%	63%	68%
WOODYARD (R-53)	+	-	P	P	-	NV	+	+	50%	75%	52%

* Sen. Luft's percentage is based on seven votes. After he was appointed to a state office, his seat was taken by Sen. George Shadid, who voted "present" on HB 979.

** Sen. Vadalabene missed these roll calls because of illness.

A number of new senators served previously in the Illinois House of Representatives. Percentages for 1991 and 1992 in *italic type* represent a legislator's score on House roll calls.

ENVIRONMENTAL VOTING HONOR ROLL: SENATE

based on highest percentage of pro-environmental votes

Sen. John Cullerton	91%
Sen. Peter Fitzgerald	88%
Sen. Penny Severns	88%
Sen. Grace Mary Stern	88%
Sen. Patrick Welch	88%
Sen. Dan Cronin	81%
Sen. Miguel delValle	81%
Sen. Emil Jones	81%

Most significant improvement since 1991: Sen. William Peterson

1993 House

	SB85	SB186	SB186	SB188	SB240	SB240	SB482	HB44	HB639
	Preemption of local pesticide rules + = no	Amendment #5, solid waste collection + = no	Amended solid waste collection + = no	Environmentally friendly businesses + = yes	Leaf-burning ban + = yes	Leaf-burning ban + = yes	Natural areas consultation + = yes	Quantity-based garbage fees + = yes	Quantity-based garbage fees + = yes
	5/18/93	5/20/93	5/26/93	5/19/93	5/21/93	10/13/93	5/12/93	4/23/93	4/14/93
ACKERMAN (R-89)	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
BALANOFF (D-32)	+	+	P	+	+	+	+	+	+
BALTHIS (R-79)	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
BIGGERT (R-81)	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
BIGGINS (R-78)	+	+	P	+	+	+	+	+	+
BLACK (R-105)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
BLAGOJEVICH (D-33)	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
BRADY (R-88)	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	P
BRUNSVOLD (D-72)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	A
BUGIELSKI (D-19)	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
BURKE (D-23)	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
CAPPARELLI (D-13)	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
CHURCHILL (R-62)	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
CLAYTON (R-51)	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
COWLISHAW (R-41)	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
CROSS (R-84)	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
CURRAN (D-100)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
CURRIE (D-25)	+	-	P	+	+	+	+	+	+
DANIELS (R-46)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
DART (D-28)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DAVIS (D-27)	+	-	P	+	-	+	+	+	+
DEERING (D-116)	-	-	-	A	+	+	+	+	+
DEJAEGHER (D-71)	A	-	-	+	+	A	+	+	+
DEUCHLER (R-42)	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DUNN (D-101)	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
EDLEY (D-95)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
ERWIN (D-11)	+	-	P	+	+	+	+	+	+
FLINN (D-113)	+	-	-	A	+	+	+	+	+
FLOWERS (D-21)	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
FREDERICK (R-59)	+	+	A	+	-	-	+	+	+
FRIAS (D-1)	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
GASH (D-60)	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
GIGLIO (D-29)	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
*GILES (D-8)	A	-	-	+	+	+	NR	NR	NR
GIOLITTO (D-68)	P	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
**GIORGI (D-67)	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	P	+
GRANBERG (D-109)	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+
HANNIG (D-98)	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	P	+
HARTKE (D-108)	-	-	-	+	-	-	A	+	+
HASSERT (R-83)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
HAWKINS (D-115)	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+
HICKS (D-107)	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
HOEFT (R-66)	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
HOFFMAN (D-112)	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
HOMER (D-91)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+

+ pro-environmental vote P present A not voting or absent

- non-environmental vote NR no record EXC excused absence

Hazardous waste incinerator moratorium + = yes	Environmentally friendly businesses + = yes	Waste incinerator permit moratorium + = yes	Wet/dry pilot recycling program + = yes	Repeal of incinerator subsidies + = yes	Leaf-burning ban + = yes	IEPA rebate to polluters + = no				
HB787	HB815	HB1315	HB1374	HB1450	HB1479	HB1719				
4/23/93	4/27/93	4/23/93	5/27/93	4/23/93	4/15/93	4/14/93	1993	1992	1991	
-	-	-	+	-	-	-	38%	43%	40%	ACKERMAN
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	98%	100%	95%	BALANOFF
+	-	-	+	-	+	+	69%	60%	33%	BALTHIS
-	-	-	+	+	+	-	63%	*	*	BIGGERT
+	-	-	+	+	+	-	80%	*	*	BIGGINS
P	-	-	+	P	P	-	36%	18%	30%	BLACK
+	+	+	+	+	+	-	88%	*	*	BLAGOJEVICH
-	-	-	+	-	-	-	39%	*	*	BRADY
+	-	-	+	-	P	-	36%	29%	73%	BRUNSVOLD
+	+	-	+	+	+	-	69%	29%	60%	BUGIELSKI
+	+	-	+	-	+	-	69%	29%	70%	BURKE
+	+	-	+	+	+	-	69%	43%	60%	CAPPARELLI
-	-	-	+	+	-	-	44%	29%	10%	CHURCHILL
-	-	-	+	+	+	-	63%	*	*	CLAYTON
+	-	-	+	+	+	-	69%	43%	43%	COWLISHAW
+	-	-	+	+	+	-	69%	*	*	CROSS
-	-	-	+	-	-	+	38%	29%	60%	CURRAN
+	+	A	+	+	+	+	89%	86%	90%	CURRIE
+	-	-	+	+	+	-	75%	43%	0%	DANIELS
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	*	*	DART
+	P	-	+	-	-	-	56%	71%	90%	DAVIS
+	+	-	+	-	+	-	59%	29%	45%	DEERING
+	+	-	+	-	P	P	63%	43%	65%	DEJAEGHER
-	-	-	+	+	+	-	69%	32%	43%	DEUCHLER
+	-	-	+	+	+	-	63%	86%	63%	DUNN
+	-	-	+	-	-	A	41%	43%	60%	EDLEY
+	+	+	+	+	+	-	86%	*	*	ERWIN
+	+	-	+	+	A	-	69%	14%	53%	FLINN
+	+	-	+	-	+	-	63%	57%	83%	FLOWERS
+	-	-	+	+	P	-	61%	50%	20%	FREDERICK
+	P	-	+	+	+	P	69%	*	*	FRIAS
+	+	P	+	+	+	-	83%	*	*	GASH
+	+	-	+	-	P	A	55%	57%	63%	GIGLIO
NR	NR	NR	+	NR	NR	NR	64%	*	*	GILES
+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	*	*	GIOLITTO
+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%	57%	88%	GIORGI
+	+	-	+	-	-	-	44%	18%	63%	GRANBERG
-	+	-	+	-	+	+	58%	43%	40%	HANNIG
+	+	-	+	-	-	-	41%	14%	60%	HARTKE
+	-	-	+	-	+	-	44%	*	*	HASSERT
+	+	-	+	-	+	-	56%	*	*	HAWKINS
+	+	-	+	-	-	-	50%	28%	53%	HICKS
+	-	-	+	+	+	-	69%	*	*	HOEFT
+	+	-	+	-	-	-	50%	29%	23%	HOFFMAN
+	-	-	+	-	-	+	44%	32%	60%	HOMER

	Preemption of local pesticide rules + = no	Amendment #5, solid waste collection + = no	Amended solid waste collection + = no	Environmentally friendly businesses + = yes	Leaf-burning ban + = yes	Leaf-burning ban + = yes	Natural areas consultation + = yes	Quantity-based garbage fees + = yes	Quantity-based garbage fees + = yes
	SB85	SB186	SB186	SB188	SB240	SB240	SB482	HB44	HB639
	5/18/93	5/20/93	5/26/93	5/19/93	5/21/93	10/13/93	5/12/93	4/23/93	4/14/93
HUGHES (R-63)	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-
JOHNSON, TIM (R-104)	A	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
JOHNSON, TOM (R-50)	EXC	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-
JONES, L. (D-5)	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
JONES, S. (D-6)	-	-	-	+	-	A	+	+	A
KASZAK (D-34)	+	P	P	+	+	+	+	+	+
KOTLARZ (D-20)	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
KRAUSE (R-56)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
KUBIK (R-43)	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	A
LANG (D-16)	P	P	P	+	+	+	+	P	+
LAURINO (D-15)	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
LAWFER (R-74)	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
***LEFLORE (D-8)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	A	EXC	EXC
LEITCH (R-93)	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-
LEVIN (D-12)	+	P	P	+	+	+	+	+	+
LINDNER (R-65)	-	+	+	+	P	+	+	+	+
LOPEZ (D-4)	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
MADIGAN (D-22)	P	-	-	+	+	+	+	P	+
MARTINEZ (D-2)	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
MAUTINO (D-76)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
McAFEE (D-47)	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McAULIFFE (R-14)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McGUIRE (D-86)	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
McPIKE (D-111)	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	P	+
MEYER (R-82)	+	+	P	+	-	+	+	P	+
MOFFITT (R-94)	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
MOORE, A. (R-61)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
MOORE, E. (D-7)	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
MORROW (D-26)	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
MOSELEY (D-100)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
MULLIGAN (R-55)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
MURPHY, H. (D-30)	-	-	-	+	-	A	+	+	+
MURPHY, M. (R-36)	+	+	P	+	+	+	+	+	+
NOLAND (R-102)	-	+	-	A	-	-	+	+	+
NOVAK (D-85)	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	P	+
OLSON (R-90)	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
OSTENBURG (D-80)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
PANKAU (R-49)	+	+	-	+	+	+	A	+	+
PARCELLS (R-57)	+	+	P	+	+	+	+	+	+
PARKE (R-53)	+	+	P	+	P	-	+	+	+
PEDERSEN (R-54)	EXC	EXC	EXC	EXC	EXC	-	EXC	EXC	EXC
PERSICO (R-39)	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
PHELAN (D-24)	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
PHELPS (D-118)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
PRUSSING (D-103)	+	+	P	+	+	+	+	+	+
PUGH (D-10)	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
RONEN (D-17)	+	-	P	+	+	+	EXC	+	+
ROSKAM (R-40)	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+
ROTELLO (D-69)	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

Hazardous waste incinerator moratorium + = yes	Environmentally friendly businesses + = yes	Waste incinerator permit moratorium + = yes	Wet/dry pilot recycling program + = yes	Repeal of incinerator subsidies + = yes	Leaf-burning ban + = yes	IEPA rebate to polluters + = no				
HB787	HB815	HB1315	HB1374	HB1450	HB1479	HB1719				
4/23/93	4/27/93	4/23/93	5/27/93	4/23/93	4/15/93	4/14/93	1993	1992	1991	
-	-	-	+	+	+	-	38%	*	*	HUGHES
-	-	-	+	-	-	-	41%	43%	40%	JOHNSON, TIM
+	-	-	+	-	+	-	47%	*	*	JOHNSON, TOM
+	+	-	+	-	P	-	52%	64%	70%	JONES, L.
+	-	-	+	-	+	A	47%	43%	60%	JONES, S.
+	+	+	+	+	A	+	94%	*	*	KASZAK
+	+	-	+	+	+	-	69%	*	*	KOTLARZ
+	-	-	+	+	+	-	75%	*	*	KRAUSE
+	A	+	+	+	+	-	75%	29%	30%	KUBIK
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%	47%	98%	LANG
+	+	-	+	+	+	-	75%	29%	58%	LAURINO
-	-	-	+	+	+	-	69%	*	*	LAWFER
EXC	EXC	EXC	NR	EXC	EXC	EXC	***	32%	83%	LEFLORE
-	-	-	+	-	+	-	38%	36%	20%	LEITCH
+	+	A	+	+	+	+	94%	86%	90%	LEVIN
-	-	-	+	+	P	-	59%	*	*	LINDNER
+	P	-	+	+	+	-	64%	*	*	LOPEZ
+	+	+	+	P	+	-	70%	40%	88%	MADIGAN
+	+	P	+	P	+	A	69%	36%	53%	MARTINEZ
+	+	-	+	-	-	+	50%	43%	20%	MAUTINO
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	94%	29%	70%	McAFEE
+	-	-	+	+	-	-	75%	29%	20%	McAULIFFE
+	+	-	+	-	+	-	63%	57%	85%	McGUIRE
+	+	-	+	-	A	-	48%	14%	70%	McPIKE
+	-	+	+	+	+	-	75%	*	*	MEYER
+	-	-	+	+	+	-	56%	*	*	MOFFITT
+	-	-	+	+	+	-	81%	*	*	MOORE, A.
+	P	P	+	-	+	-	66%	*	*	MOORE, E.
+	P	-	+	-	-	+	52%	68%	83%	MORROW
+	-	-	+	-	A	+	47%	*	*	MOSELEY
+	-	-	+	+	+	-	75%	*	*	MULLIGAN
+	-	-	+	-	+	+	53%	*	*	MURPHY, H.
+	-	+	+	+	+	-	86%	*	*	MURPHY, M.
+	-	-	+	+	-	-	47%	43%	28%	NOLAND
+	+	-	+	-	+	-	58%	57%	70%	NOVAK
-	+	-	+	-	-	-	44%	43%	40%	OLSON
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	*	*	OSTENBURG
+	-	-	+	+	+	-	72%	*	*	PANKAU
+	-	-	+	+	+	-	80%	29%	10%	PARCELLS
P	-	-	+	-	+	-	58%	47%	33%	PARKE
EXC	EXC	EXC	EXC	EXC	EXC	EXC	47%	47%	3%	PEDERSEN
+	-	-	+	+	+	-	69%	29%	45%	PERSICO
+	+	+	+	+	+	-	81%	29%	63%	PHELAN
+	P	-	+	-	-	-	39%	29%	73%	PHELPS
+	+	-	+	+	+	+	92%	*	*	PRUSSING
+	P	-	+	-	+	-	58%	*	*	PUGH
+	+	-	+	+	+	+	83%	29%	53%	RONEN
+	-	-	+	+	+	-	63%	*	*	ROSKAM
+	+	-	+	+	+	-	81%	57%	53%	ROTELLO

	Preemption of local pesticide rules + = no	Amendment #5, solid waste collection + = no	Amended solid waste collection + = no	Environmentally friendly businesses + = yes	Leaf-burning ban + = yes	Leaf-burning ban + = yes	Natural areas consultation + = yes	Quantity-based garbage fees + = yes	Quantity-based garbage fees + = yes
	SB85	SB186	SB186	SB188	SB240	SB240	SB482	HB44	HB639
	5/18/93	5/20/93	5/26/93	5/19/93	5/21/93	10/13/93	5/12/93	4/23/93	4/14/93
RUTHERFORD (R-87)	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+
RYDER (R-97)	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
SALTSMAN (D-92)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
SALVI (R-52)	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+
SANTIAGO (D-3)	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
SAVIANO (R-77)	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
SCHAKOWSKY(D-18)	+	-	P	+	+	+	+	+	+
SCHOENBERG (D-58)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SHEEHY (D-37)	-	+	P	+	+	P	+	-	+
SKINNER (R-64)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
STECZO (D-35)	-	+	P	+	P	P	+	+	+
STEPHENS (R-110)	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
STROGER (D-31)	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
TENHOUSE (R-96)	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	P	+
TURNER (D-9)	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	A
VONB.-WESSELS(D-73)	P	-	-	+	-	-	+	P	+
WALSH (R-44)	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	A
WEAVER (R-106)	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
WELLER (R-75)	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
WENNLUND (R-38)	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
WIRSING (R-70)	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
WOJCIK (R-45)	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	A	+
WOOLARD (D-117)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
YOUNGE (D-114)	+	-	-	+	-	+	A	+	+
ZICKUS (R-48)	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

* Rep. Calvin Giles replaced the late Rep. Robert LeFlore in mid-term.
** Rep. Zeke Giorgi died in the fall of 1993.
*** Rep. LeFlore missed many votes due to illness and was replaced after his death by Rep. Giles.

HB787	HB815	HB1315	HB1374	HB1450	HB1479	HB1719				
4/23/93	4/27/93	4/23/93	5/27/93	4/23/93	4/15/93	4/14/93	1993	1992	1991	
-	-	-	+	-	-	-	44%	*	*	RUTHERFORD
P	-	-	+	P	-	-	41%	29%	30%	RYDER
+	-	+	+	+	+	-	56%	57%	60%	SALTSMAN
+	-	P	+	+	+	-	64%	*	*	SALVI
+	P	-	+	+	+	-	64%	29%	55%	SANTIAGO
+	-	P	+	+	+	-	70%	*	*	SAVIANO
+	+	A	+	+	+	+	89%	82%	100%	SCHAKOWSKY
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	71%	98%	SCHOENBERG
+	+	+	+	A	+	+	78%	*	*	SHEEHY
+	-	-	+	-	+	-	69%	*	*	SKINNER
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%	47%	48%	STECZO
+	+	-	+	+	-	-	56%	*	*	STEPHENS
+	+	+	+	-	+	+	75%	*	*	STROGER
-	-	-	+	-	+	-	39%	29%	20%	TENHOUSE
+	P	-	+	-	+	-	48%	60%	60%	TURNER
+	+	-	+	-	P	+	52%	*	*	VONBRG-WESSELS
+	-	+	+	+	+	-	72%	29%	66%	WALSH
+	+	-	+	+	+	-	63%	14%	43%	WEAVER
+	-	-	+	-	-	-	44%	57%	73%	WELLER
+	-	+	+	+	-	-	63%	57%	20%	WENNLUND
+	-	-	+	-	-	-	44%	*	*	WIRSING
-	-	-	+	+	-	-	47%	43%	25%	WOJCIK
+	P	-	+	-	P	-	41%	29%	68%	WOOLARD
A	+	-	+	-	-	A	53%	64%	80%	YOUNGE
+	-	+	+	+	+	-	81%	*	*	ZICKUS

Hazardous waste incinerator moratorium
+ = yes

Environmentally friendly businesses
+ = yes

Waste incinerator permit moratorium
+ = yes

Wet/dry pilot recycling program
+ = yes

Repeal of incinerator subsidies
+ = yes

Leaf-burning ban
+ = yes

IEPA rebate to polluters
+ = no

ENVIRONMENTAL VOTING HONOR ROLL: HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
based on highest percentage of pro-environmental votes

Rep. Tom Dart	100%	Rep. Barbara Giolitto	86%
Rep. John Ostenburg	100%	Rep. Maureen Murphy	86%
Rep. Jeff Schoenberg	100%	Rep. Lauren Gash	83%
Rep. Clem Balanoff	98%	Rep. Carol Ronen	83%
Rep. Nancy Kaszak	94%	Rep. Terry Steczo	83%
Rep. Ellis Levin	94%	Rep. Zeke Giorgi	83%
Rep. David McAfee	94%	Rep. Andrea Moore	81%
Rep. Laurel Prussing	92%	Rep. James Phelan	81%
Rep. Louis Lang	91%	Rep. Michael Rotello	81%
Rep. Barbara Currie	89%	Rep. Ann Zickus	81%
Rep. Jan Schakowsky	89%	Rep. Bob Biggins	80%
Rep. Rod Blagojevich	88%	Rep. Margaret Parcells	80%
Rep. Judy Erwin	86%		

Most significant improvement since 1991: Rep. Lee Daniels; Rep. Margaret Parcells

ILLINOIS HOUSE ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE
1993 MID-TERM VOTING RECORD

NAME & DISTRICT		4-1-93 SOLID WASTE TIPPING FEE WAIVER + = no HB 40	3-10-93 HAZARDOUS WASTE TIPPING FEE INCREASE + = yes HB 43	3-10-93 VOLUME BASED WASTE DISPOSAL FEE + = yes HB 44	3-17-93 BAN ON INCINERATOR SITING NEAR SCHOOLS + = yes HB 404	4-1-93 VOLUME BASED WASTE DISPOSAL FEE + = yes HB 639	3-10-93 ECONOMIC INCENTIVES FOR RECYCLING + = yes HB 695	3-17-93 WASTE INCINERATOR MORATORIUM + = yes HB 787
BALANOFF	(D-32)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
BUGIELSKI	(D-19)	+	-	-	-	+	-	+
BURKE	(D-23)	A	-	-	-	+	-	+
CURRAN	(D-100)	+	-	-	-	+	P	-
DEERING	(D-116)	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
GASH	(D-60)	+	+	+	+	A	-	A
GIOLITTO	(D-68)	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
GRANBERG	(D-109)	+	-	-	+	+	-	+
HASSERT	(R-83)	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
HUGHES	(R-63)	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
JOHNSON,TOM	(R-50)	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
KASZAK	(D-34)	+	+	+	+	+	P	+
KOTLARZ	(D-20)		-	-	A	A	+	A
* (MAUTINO)		(+)						
KUBIK	(R-43)	A	+	+	-	-	A	+
LAWFER	(R-74)	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
LEITCH	(R-93)	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
LEVIN	(D-12)	A	A	A	+	+	+	+
LOPEZ	(D-4)	+	+	-	-	+	-	+
MOORE, A.	(R-61)	-	+	+	-	P	-	A
MORROW	(D-26)	A	+	-	-	+	-	A
MULLIGAN	(R-55)	-	+	+	-	P	-	+
NOVAK	(D-85)	+	-	-	-	+	P	+
PARKE	(R-53)	-	+	+	-	P	-	A
PERSICO	(R-39)	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
ROTELLO	(D-69)	+	-	+	A	+	-	+
SCHOENBERG	(D-58)	+	A	A	+	+	+	+
WOJCIK	(R-45)		+	+	-		-	-
* (MOFFITT)		(-)				(-)		

KEY: + = PRO ENVIRONMENTAL VOTE - = ANTI- ENVIRONMENTAL VOTE
A = ABSENT P = PRESENT VOTE
( ) = SUBSTITUTE VOTE * (NAME) = SUBSTITUTE VOTER

The roll calls and computation of ratings have been checked by IEC staff, and we believe the information to be accurate. If you have any questions about the voting record, please get in touch with our office.

NAME & DISTRICT		3-17-93 EARTH-FRIENDLY BUSINESS INCENTIVE + = yes HB 815	3-17-93 PUBLIC NOTICE ON EMISSIONS INCREASES + = yes HB 1170	4-1-93 END TO INCINERATOR SUBSIDIES + = yes HB 1450	3-24-93 LEAF-BURNING BAN + = yes HB 1479	3-24-93 ABATEMENT OF PENALTIES FROM IEPA + = no HB 1719	4-1-93 INLAND WATERWAY PROTECTION + = yes HB 1986	SCORE
BALANOFF	(D-32)	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
BUGIELSKI	(D-19)	+	-	P	+	-	-	41%
BURKE	(D-23)	+	A	-	+	+	+	54%
CURRAN	(D-100)	+	-	+	A	-	+	44%
DEERING	(D-116)	+	-	-	+	-	-	31%
GASH	(D-60)	A	A	+	+	+	A	73%
GIOLITTO	(D-68)	+	+	+	+	+	A	89%
GRANBERG	(D-109)	+	-	-	-	-	-	39%
HASSERT	(R-83)	-	-	P	A	-	-	29%
HUGHES	(R-63)	-	-	+	+	-	-	31%
JOHNSON,TOM	(R-50)	-	-	+	+	-	-	39%
KASZAK	(D-34)	+	P	+	+	P	+	87%
KOTLARZ	(D-20)	A			+	P		52%
* (MAUTINO)				(-)			(-)	
* (LANG)			(P)					
KUBIK	(R-43)	-	-	A	+	-	-	42%
LAWFER	(R-74)	-	-	+	+	-	-	31%
LEITCH	(R-93)	-	-	A	A	-	P	25%
LEVIN	(D-12)	+	+	+	A	+	+	85%
LOPEZ	(D-4)	+	-	P	+	+	+	64%
MOORE, A.	(R-61)	A	A	+	+	A	+	56%
MORROW	(D-26)	+	+	-	P	A	A	48%
MULLIGAN	(R-55)	-	-	+	+	-	-	41%
NOVAK	(D-85)	+	P	-	+	-	+	50%
PARKE	(R-53)	A	A	P	+	-	-	39%
PERSICO	(R-39)	-	-	+	+	-	-	39%
ROTELLO	(D-69)	+	A	+	+	-	-	62%
SCHOENBERG	(D-58)	+	-	+	+	+	+	85%
WOJCIK	(R-45)	-	-		A	-		35%
* (MOFFITT)				(P)			(P)	

Explanation of ratings: A range of points was established for the vote on each bill: +1.0, +.5, 0, -.5, and -1.0. A vote of "yes" on a pro-environmental bill, or a vote of "no" on an anti-environmental bill, was considered a supportive vote and assigned a score of +1.0.

A nonsupportive vote was given a score of -1.0. A vote of "present" on a pro-environmental bill was construed as a vote denied to the majority needed to pass it and given a score of -.5; a "present" vote on an anti-environmental bill was given a score of +.5. An absence counted as 0.0.

The points awarded for votes on the bills were added together. Raw scores (range, -13 to +13) were converted to a positive-number scale (range, 0 to 26), then divided by 26 to obtain the percentage of environmentally supportive votes.

Illinois Senate Environment and Energy Committee
1993 Mid-Term Voting Record

NAME & DISTRICT	Prohibition of incinerator siting near schools + = yes SB 52	Earth-friendly business incentives + = yes SB 188	Statewide leafburning ban + = yes SB 240	Hazardous waste tipping fee increases + = yes SB 534	Solid waste facility plan approval + = no SB 806	An end to incinerator subsidies + = yes SB 1060	Score
DONAHUE (R-48)	+	+	-	+	-	A	58%
FARLEY (D-17)	-	+	+	P	P	-	50%
JACOBS (D-36)	A	+	-	A	-	-	33%
KARPIEL (R-25)	+	+	+	+	-	+	83%
MAITLAND (R-44)	+	P	-	+	-	A	46%
MAHAR (R-19)	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
PETERSON (R-26)	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
RAUSCHENBERGER (R-33)	+	+	+	+	P	+	88%
SHAW (D-15)	A	A	+	A	-	-	42%
TROTTER (D-16)	A	+	+	+	A	A	75%

Illinois Environmental Council

319 West Cook Street
Springfield, IL 62704

phone 217/544-5954
fax 217/544-5958